



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,291	06/30/2003	R. Hugo Patterson	6368P002	2915
8791	7590	05/21/2008	EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			STACE, BRENT S	
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY				
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2161	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/611,291	PATTERSON, R. HUGO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BRENT STACE	2161	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) BRENT STACE. (3) _____.
 (2) Matt Hindman. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 May 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,3-11,13-18,20-22,24-33,35-43 and 45-50.

Identification of prior art discussed: 6,249,792 (Zwilling) and 5,829,005 (Senator).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed further proposed amendments. The futher proposed amendments may overcome the prior art of record, however, further search and consideration is necessary to determine that patentability of the claims. The further proposed amendments at least aid in furthering prosecution. No agreement was reached with respect to the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Apu Mofiz/
 SPE, Art Unit 2161

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required